

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/553,767	LYSENKO ET AL.	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Lars Husebo. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 November 2010

**Time:** 10:30 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*12, 45*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussion concerned a proposed Examiner's amendment to claim 12 for clarity, and to fix a typographical error in claim 45.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark Eashoo/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)